3/26/2009

1

```
1
               THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
             FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
 2
 3
      STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel W.A.
      DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity
 4
      as ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE
      OF OKLAHOMA, and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY
 5
      OF THE ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,
      in his capacity as the TRUSTEE FOR
 6
      NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF
      OKLAHOMA,
7
            Plaintiffs,
8
      VS
                                               No. 05-CV-0329 GKF-SAJ
9
      TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC.,
10
      TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC.,
      AVIAGEN, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.,
11
      CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., CARGILL, INC.,
      CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC,
      GEORGE'S, INC., GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.,
12
      PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC.,
13
      and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.,
14
            Defendants.
15
                    DEPOSITION OF ED ABERNATHY
               Taken on Behalf of the Defendants
16
           On March 26, 2009, beginning at 9:30 a.m.
17
                       In Poteau, Oklahoma
18
                           APPEARANCES
19
      Appearing on behalf of the PLAINTIFFS:
20
      Sharon K. Weaver, Attorney at Law
21
      RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS, P.C.
      502 West Sixth Street
22
      Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119-1010
      918-587-3161
23
      sweaver@riggsabney.com
24
                Appearances continued on the next page
25
      REPORTED BY: MARY K. BECKHAM, CSR, RPR
```

3/26/2009

2

```
1
                     APPEARANCES continued
2
     Appearing on behalf of the WITNESS:
3
     Elizabeth Tennery, Assistant General Counsel
4
     STATE OF OKLAHOMA
5
     P.O. Box 528804
     Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73152
6
     405-522-6104
     elizabeth.tennery@oda.state.ok.us
7
     Appearing on behalf of the DEFENDANT PETERSON FARMS:
8
     Philip D. Hixon, Attorney at Law
     McDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD
9
     320 South Boston, Suite 700
10
     Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
     918-382-9200
11
     phixon@mhla-law.com
12
     Appearing on behalf of the DEFENDANT CARGILL, INC.
     and CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC:
13
     Colin H. Tucker, Attorney at Law
14
     RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE
     100 W. 5th Street, Suite 400
15
     Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4287
     918-582-1173
16
     chtucker@rhodesokla.com
17
     Appearing on behalf of the DEFENDANT GEORGE'S, INC.
     and GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.:
18
     K.C. Dupps Tucker, Attorney at Law
19
     BASSETT LAW FIRM, LLP
     221 North College Avenue
20
     P.O. Box 3618
     Fayetteville, Arkansas 72702-3618
21
     479-521-9996
     kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com
22
23
24
25
```

3/26/2009

5

1 MR. HIXON: I will go ahead and enter 2 appearances on the record. I'm Phillip Hixon. I'm 3 here on behalf of Peterson Farms. 4 MR. TUCKER: Colin Tucker. I'm here for 5 Cargill and Cargill Turkey Production. 6 MS. TUCKER: I'm K.C. Tucker and I'm here 7 for the George's defendant. 8 MS. WEAVER: Sharon Weaver. I'm here for 9 the State of Oklahoma. 10 MS. TENNERY: Elizabeth Tennery. I'm here 11 on behalf of Ed Abernathy. 12 ED ABERNATHY, 13 after having been first duly sworn, deposes and 14 says in reply to the questions propounded as 15 follows, to-wit: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. HIXON: 18 0 Mr. Abernathy, will you please state your 19 name for the record? 20 Edward J. Abernathy. Α 21 And have you been deposed before? Q 22 Α No. 23 Just some ground rules. I'm going to be Q 24 asking you some questions and if you can give me a 25 verbal response, if it's a yes or no question,

1	A I retired from Soil Conservation Service.
2	Q Okay.
3	A I've done other things since then.
4	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Did you have gainful employment after
5	1985?
6	A Not as a full-time employee. I've done
7	contract work.
8	Q Okay. What kind of contract work?
9	A Well, I bid some flood control dams. I
10	$oldsymbol{Q}$ Who did you do that work for?
11	A Soil Conservation Service.
12	Q Okay. What other contract work do you do?
13	A Presently I'm employed by the State
14	Department of Agriculture.
15	${f Q}$ And how long have you been employed by
16	ODAFF?
17	MS. WEAVER: Object to the form.
18	Q She object she didn't they'll make
19	periodic objections. She didn't like the way I
20	asked my question. You can go ahead and answer the
21	question.
22	A Would you restate it?
23	Q How long have you been employed by the
24	Department of Agriculture?
25	MS. WEAVER: Same objection.

3/26/2009

26

1 Q Is there anything that you do at the farms 2 other than speak with the landowner? 3 Α No. 4 Do you know who David Wheelock is? Q 5 Α I'm not very good at remembering names. 6 How about Keith Smith? Q 7 Keith Smith. The name is familiar. Α 8 Do you know Paula Albers? Q 9 I don't say -- I can't say that I do. Α 10 (Exhibit 1 marked for identification.) 11 (BY MR. HIXON) I'm going to hand you what Q 12 we will mark as Exhibit 1 to your deposition. 13 is a copy of the Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding 14 Operations Act. This version of the statutes came 15 off of the ODAFF's website. I just have a couple of 16 questions on this, but before we get to that: I 17 read something that indicated that you were on the 18 rules advisory committee for the Registered Poultry 19 Feeding Operations Act; is that correct? 20 Α That has been 15 years ago probably. 21 Okay. But you were on that committee? Q 22 Α For a short time. 23 How long were you on that committee? Q 24 Α I don't remember exactly, a year or two. 25 What did you do as a member of that Q

land at appropriate times and rates. Discharge or runoff of waste from the application site is prohibited." Do the plans that you write contain controls that would prevent the discharge or runoff from the application site?

MS. WEAVER: Object to the form.

- A Would you restate that question, please?
- **Q** The plans that you write have to meet these requirements; do you understand that?
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q Okay. And one of these requirements is that there is no discharge or runoff of poultry litter that's applied on a field. And what I'm -- what I'm wanting to know is how -- do the plans that you write contain controls that would prevent that discharge or runoff from poultry -- of poultry litter from the field where it's applied?
- A That is covered under waste utilization guidelines and best management practices. And a statement that I put in about litter storage.

MS. WEAVER: Same objection.

Q Okay. When you're saying it's covered under waste utilization practices or best management practices, are those -- those are parts of the plan?

A Yes.

1 them -- who do you write those plans for, what 2 regulatory body? 3 The State Department of Agriculture. Α 4 Okay. So you write them for ODAFF? Q 5 Α Yes. 6 And you're approved by the State Q 7 Department of Agriculture to write those plans? 8 Α Yes. 9 The regulations for the Registered Poultry Q 10 Feeding Operations Act at Section 35, 17-5-3, 11 paragraph B contains some additional requirements 12 for the animal waste management plans. One of those 13 requirements reads that "the plans shall be reviewed 14 and updated at least every six years from the date 15 the animal waste management plan was obtained. 16 Plans shall also be reviewed and updated in the 17 following circumstances. A, when a change in the 18 waste utilization standards occur and upon 19 notification by the State Department of Agriculture; 20 B, upon recommendation of the State Department of 21 Agriculture." Are the plans that you write, are 22 they in effect for six years?

A Yes.

23

24

25

Q And the waste utilization standards that are referred to here, again is that the Code 590?

ABERNATHY, ED

3/26/2009

A You know, I don't know what the intent of that is.

- **Q** Okay. Would there be something -- some other waste utilization standard other than the Code 590?
 - A No.
- **Q** Did you understand what I read, that there would be a review when there was a change in the waste utilization standards and a notification by the State Department of Agriculture?
- A I understand that, but that's out of my jurisdiction.
- Q Okay. Let me ask you this. If there's a change in Code 590, do you amend the plans that you've written for various farmers? Is that an instruction you receive from Dan Parrish or anyone else at ODAFF?
 - A I haven't received that instruction.
- Q You've never received that instruction since you've been writing plans?
 - A No.
- **Q** Let me ask it this way. It's my understanding that Code 590, the most recent version of that is a March 2007 version; is that correct?
 - A I'm not sure.

1 application? 2 Because of the slope. 3 Okay. What does the -- what does full Q 4 rate split application mean? 5 Well, you don't put it all on at one time. Α 6 You can put on the full amount during that year, but 7 you don't put it all on at one time. 8 Okay. How long would have to be -- how 9 long has to transpire between the applications? 10 Probably a month. 11 How much litter is considered full rate? Q 12 Two hundred pound of phosphorus, it would Α 13 depend on the litter test then. 14 But full rate assumes 200 pounds of 15 phosphorus? 16 Yes. Α 17 Is that 200 pounds of phosphorus per acre? 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Look at Table 9. This is animal manure 20 application rates for nutrient limited watersheds or 21 water department? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. This table would apply to plans Q 24 written in the Illinois River Watershed; is that 25 correct?

1 Yes, sir. Α 2 And here under the low category, the 0 to Q 3 8 slope, you can apply a full rate -- pardon me, you 4 can apply full rate up to a soil test phosphorus of 5 120; is that correct? 6 Α That's true. 7 Okay. And then anything over 120 but less 0 8 than 300 is half rate? 9 Α Yes. 10 Then 300, anything over 300 there's no Q 11 application of litter? 12 Α Right. 13 Is the full rate here the same as the full Q 14 rate as referred to in Table 8? 15 Α Yes. 16 So it would still be 200 pounds per acre? Q 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And half rate would be 100 pounds per 19 acre? 20 Yes. Α 21 Do you have any idea how much of the Q 22 available land within the Illinois River Watershed 23 has a P of over 300? 24 Α No. 25 Let's start looking at some plans. Q

verification of any of the elements that are incorporated into the plan?

A I don't remember.

Q On page 2 under this introduction, about a third of the way down there's a sentence, it reads, "The law requires that the Natural Resources Conservation Service, NRCS, recommendations for litter application rates be followed." Is that a correct statement?

A May not be correct, but it's my understanding.

Q Okay. It's your understanding. Does this statement appear in all the plans that you write?

A Yes.

Q The next sentence reads, "NRCS recommends the application maximum of 200 pounds of phosphorus per acre per year if the soil test shows a phosphorus index below 250. If the soil test phosphorus index is between 250 and 400, then the rate applications are reduced by one half. If the phosphorus index is above 400, then no litter is applied." Okay. That -- based on what we just decided on the Code 590, that sounds like the non-nutrient limited watershed rate?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ABERNATHY, ED

3/26/2009

93

Do you believe that the plans you write Q help farmers protect the environment? I believe they will if they will follow Α them. Do you believe that ODAFF tries to help Q farmers protect the environment? I believe they fulfill their obligation, Α whatever that is. And I don't know what all their obligation is, but I believe they fulfill it. Well, based on your experience in working with them, do you think that helping farmers protect the environment is one of the things that just happens when ODAFF is doing the things ODAFF does? Α Say that again. Well, you've worked with ODAFF for a while 0

- Q Well, you've worked with ODAFF for a while now, do you think that one of the effects of the things that ODAFF does as an agency is helping farmers protect the environment?
 - A Yes.
- **Q** What kind of information is not contained in the plans that you write that you think ought to be?
- A It's not something that probably is lacking in the plans. It's what happens when the person gets the plan. And I don't have any control